ON TUBE-LOG RIEMANN SURFACES AND PRIMITIVES OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS.

K. Biswas, R. Pérez-Marco

arXiv:1512.04035v1 [math.CV] 13 Dec 2015

1) Introduction.

The notion of *tube-log Riemann surface* was first introduced by R.Perez-Marco in order to solve several open problems in holomorphic dynamics (see for example [PM1], [PM2], [PM3]). Somewhat informally, tube-log Riemann surfaces may be described as Riemann surfaces constructed using a prescribed set of building blocks, including complex planes $\mathbf{C}$ and complex cylinders $\mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z}$ (hence the word "tube"), by pasting them together isometrically along 'slits', which are either finite line segments or half-lines. Each building block comes equipped with a distinguished set of charts; for a complex plane the distinguished chart is the identity $z \in \mathbf{C} \mapsto z$, while for a complex cylinder the distinguished charts are the locally defined maps of the form $[z] \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z} \mapsto z$, and the changes of charts are translations. We assume that the isometric pasting maps are translations in the distinguished charts. A tube-log Riemann surface thus inherits a distinguished set of charts from its building blocks, for which the changes of charts are translations. A tube-log Riemann surface also inherits a flat metric from its building blocks.

Since any two distinguished charts differ by translations, any function $F : D \to \mathcal{S}$ taking values in a tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ (where $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a planar domain) has a well-defined derivative computed in the charts, $F' : D \to \mathbf{C}$. This allows us to write integral formulas for the uniformizations of tube-log Riemann surfaces. The simplest example would be that of a single complex cylinder, for example $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\mathbf{Z}$. This is biholomorphic to the punctured plane $\mathbf{C} - \{0\}$, with uniformization given by the primitive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} - \{0\} &\to \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\mathbf{Z} \\ z \mapsto \log z &= \int_1^z \frac{du}{u} \end{aligned}$$



Punctured plane $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ Complex cylinder $\mathbb{C} / 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$

Figure 1

Another example is the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ used by R.Perez-Marco in [PM1]. The surface $\mathcal{S}$ is constructed from one complex cylinder and infinitely many complex planes, pasted together along half-line slits (see figure below). It is also biholomorphic to the punctured plane $\mathbf{C} - \{0\}$, with uniformization given by the primitive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} - \{0\} &\to \mathcal{S} \\ z &\mapsto \int_1^z \frac{e^u}{u}\, du \end{aligned}$$



Figure 2

This geometry, and not another, proves the optimality of the diophantine condition ((p_n/q_n) is the sequence of convergents of the rotation number appearing in the problem)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\log\log q_{n+1}}{q_n} < +\infty \ ,$$

in the Siegel problem of linearization of holomorphic dynamics with no strict periodic orbits (see [PM1]).

In this article we first define formally the notion of tube-log Riemann surface. We then study primitives of the form $\int R(z)\, dz$, where $R(z)$ is a rational function of z. Our aim is to construct for each rational function R a tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ such that the uniformization of $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given by the primitive $\int R(z)\, dz$. We show how to do this for a generic class of rational functions.

A related study in the same spirit as this article which the reader may like to refer to, is that of *Log-Riemann Surfaces* ([Bi-PM]). Log-Riemann surfaces form a subclass of tube-log Riemann surfaces, and are given by pasting of only complex planes (they have no "tubes").

2) Definition of tube-log Riemann surfaces.

2.1) The basic building blocks.

We define here the building blocks allowed in the construction of tube-log Riemann surfaces.

Definition (Building block). *A building block is an object B of one the five types (a)-(e) defined below.*

(a) Complex cylinders:

Such a building block is of the form $B = \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$.

(b) Complex half cylinders:

Such a building block is an open subset of a complex cylinder, of the form either $B = \{[w] \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z} : \ \mathrm{Im}(w/2\pi i\lambda) > 0\}$ or $B = \{[w] \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z} : \ \mathrm{Im}(w/2\pi i\lambda) < 0\}$

(c) Complex planes:

Such a building block is simply a copy of the complex plane, $B = \mathbf{C}$.

The next two building blocks may seem a bit unusual, but they will arise naturally in the study of the primitives of rational functions in the following sections. They require the notion of *log-Riemann surface*. For precise definitions we refer the reader to [Bi-PM]; we give here a brief overview:

A log-Riemann surface may be defined informally as a Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ with a distinguished set of charts such that the trace of each chart is a slit complex plane, and such that any change of charts is the identity. The charts on $\mathcal{S}$ thus paste together to give a globally defined local diffeomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbf{C}$, which we refer to as the *projection mapping*. The log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ inherits a flat metric from its charts, for which π is a local isometry. We denote the completion of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to this metric by $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{R}$. We assume that the set $\mathcal{R}$ of points added is discrete, and call points of $\mathcal{R}$ ramification points. It is shown in [Bi-PM] that for each ramification point $w^* \in \mathcal{R}$ there is a small punctured ball $B(w^*, \epsilon) - \{w^*\}$ and an n, $2 \leq n \leq \infty$, such that π maps $B(w^*, \epsilon) - \{w^*\}$ to $B(\pi(w^*), \epsilon) - \{\pi(w^*)\}$ as an n-fold covering; we call w^* a ramification point of order n. Any two Euclidean segments meeting at w^* delimit in this ball two angular sectors (three if $n = \infty$) centered at w^* of amplitudes $\theta, \phi > 0$ such that $\theta + \phi = 2n\pi$. We can now define for log-Riemann surfaces the analogue of planar polygons:

(d) Log-polygons:

Such a building block is an simply connected open subset of a log-Riemann surface $B \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that:

(i) Its boundary in $\mathcal{S}^*$, $\partial B \subset \mathcal{S}^*$, is homeomorphic to a circle.

(ii) For some $N \geq 3$, there are N points on the boundary ∂B such that the N open arcs of ∂B delimited by them (each arc joins a point to the next in the circular ordering) are isometric to finite open Euclidean segments.

The N points are called the *vertices* and the N arcs the *sides* of the log-polygon B. At each vertex w of B, the two sides of B meeting at w delimit, in a small ball around w, angular sectors centered at w, of which one must be contained in B (for a ball small enough). The amplitude of this sector is called the *interior angle* at w.

(e) Quotients of log-polygons:

Given a log polygon $P \subset \mathcal{S}$ with N sides, one is allowed to construct such a building block B as follows:

If possible, take a strict subset of the sides of P, grouped in pairs $l_j, l'_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$ with $2k < N$, such that for $j = 1, \ldots, k$, the Euclidean segments $\pi(l_j) \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $\pi(l'_j) \subset \mathbf{C}$ differ by translations ($\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbf{C}$ being the projection mapping of $\mathcal{S}$). Define B to be the quotient

$$B = (P \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{k} l_j \cup l'_j))/\sim,$$

where we paste each l_j to l'_j by the map whose expression in the distinguished charts on $\mathcal{S}$ is a translation.

We observe that if B^* is the completion of B with respect to the flat metric on B, then $B^* - B$ consists of $N - 2k$ connected components, isometric to the $N - 2k$ sides of $\overline{P}$ not pasted together. We call these components *boundary components* of the quotiented log-polygon B.

We remark that any building block is a Riemann surface equipped with a set of distinguished charts for which all changes of charts are translations, and these charts induce a flat metric on the building block.

2.2) Cutting and pasting building blocks.

Definition (Cut or Slit). *A cut or slit is a curve γ in a building block B, $\gamma \subset B$, which is isometric to either a closed half-line or a closed finite Euclidean segment.*

Definition (Slit Building block). *A slit building block is an open subset B' of a building block B, of the form $B' = B - \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \sqcup_i \gamma_i$ is a disjoint union of cuts γ_i in B which is locally finite, ie any compact subset of B meets only finitely many cuts γ_i. The union of cuts Γ is allowed to be empty. We denote by B'^* the completion of B' with respect to the flat metric on B'.*

Tube-log Riemann surfaces, which we imagine as being formed by cutting and pasting together building blocks, can now be defined as follows:

Definition (Tube-Log Riemann Surface). *A tube-log Riemann surface is a Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ such that:*

(1) $\mathcal{S}$ has a distinguished set of charts $\{\phi_i : U_i \to \mathbf{C}\}_{i \in I}$ such that any change of charts $\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ is a translation.

(2) There is a collection $\{V_k\}_{k \in J}$ of disjoint open subsets of $\mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S} = \overline{\bigsqcup_{k \in J} V_k},$$

and for each V_k there is a slit building block B'_k and a biholomorphic map $\psi_k : B'_k \to V_k$ whose derivative computed in the distinguished charts on B'_k and $\mathcal{S}$ is identically equal to unity.

(3) We assume that each map ψ_k extends to the completion of B'_k minus a discrete set of points $D_k \subset B'^*_k - B'_k$, to an injective mapping $\psi_k : B'^*_k - D_k \to \mathcal{S}$.

Remark. The collection $\{V_k\}_{k\in J}$ of slit building blocks of a tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ is not required to be unique; indeed in general it will not be unique, since some building blocks (for example log-polygons) can be partitioned into smaller building blocks, and one can also change the directions of the slits. We require however that at least one such decomposition exists. The condition (3) guarantees that all slits, and boundaries of building blocks such as half-cylinders or log-polygons, are pasted together, except for discrete sets of points on the slits or boundaries. The injectivity of the extended ψ_k ensures that the two 'sides' of a slit are not identified in $\mathcal{S}$, so slits are non-trivial, and that there is no identification within $\mathcal{S}$ of parts of the boundary of the same building block, for building blocks such as half-cylinders or log-polygons (thus we exclude for example complex tori given by identifying opposite sides of a parallelogram).

Definition. *We regard two tube-log Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ as being equal if there is a biholomorphic map $\psi : \mathcal{S}_1 \to \mathcal{S}_2$ whose derivative computed in the distinguished charts on $\mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ is identically equal to unity.*

3) Examples of tube-log Riemann surfaces for primitives of rational functions.

1. Let $R(z)$ have only one simple pole and no finite zeroes, say $R(z) = c/(z-a)$; this is essentially the same as the example of the logarithm, with $\int R(z)\,dz = c\log(z-a)$ giving a uniformisation from the punctured plane $\mathbf{C} - \{a\}$ to the complex cylinder $\mathbf{C}/2\pi ic\mathbf{Z}$.

2. Let $R(z)$ have two simple poles and no finite zeroes, say $R(z) = 1/(z-z_1)(z-z_2)$; splitting into partial fractions and integrating we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{dz}{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)} &= \frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)}[\log(z-z_1) - \log(z-z_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)} \log\left(\frac{z-z_1}{z-z_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

So $F(z) = \int R(z)dz$ is given by a Moebius transformation $z \mapsto (z-z_1)/(z-z_2)$, which maps the punctured sphere $\overline{\mathbf{C}} - \{z_1, z_2\}$ to the punctured plane $\mathbf{C} - \{0\}$, composed with a logarithm, which again maps to a complex cylinder.

So though the degree of R is 2 in this example and 1 in the first, both give the same tube-log Riemann surfaces, namely complex cylinders. This is best explained by considering the 1-forms $R(z)\,dz$ instead of the functions R. The rational function $R(z) = 1/z$ has only one pole at $z = 0$, so the 1-form dz/z has a pole there as well; however, near $z = \infty$, in terms of the coordinate $\xi = 1/z$, we have $dz/z = \xi \cdot (-d\xi/\xi^2) = -d\xi/\xi$, so the form dz/z also has an additional pole at $z = \infty$. Thus the 1-form dz/z has two poles and no zeroes; this is indeed also the case with the 1-form $dz/(z-z_1)(z-z_2)$, which

has two poles at $z = z_1$ and $z = z_2$, and no zeroes (the form is regular and non-zero near $z = \infty$), so the forms $R(z)\ dz$ are of the same type in examples 1 and 2. In fact the Moebius transformation occuring above, $z \mapsto (z - z_1)/(z - z_2)$, conjugates the forms $dz/(z - z_1)(z - z_2)$ and dz/z, taking the poles of the one to those of the other.

These remarks indicate that it is more appropriate to classify the primitives $\int R(z)\ dz$ according to the types of the 1-forms $\omega = R(z)\ dz$ rather than those of the rational functions R. The 1-forms may be broadly classified by the number n of poles they have (counted with multiplicity); the number of zeroes is then $(n - 2)$ (counted with multiplicity). The geometry of the tube-log Riemann surfaces obtained will depend not only on the total number of poles and zeroes, but also on their multiplicities.

Before proceeding with more examples, it is worth carrying out a discussion which will be useful later of the behaviour of an arbitrary 1-form near a simple pole:

Let $\phi = f(z)\ dz = (\lambda/z + a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots)\ dz$ be a holomorphic 1-form with a simple pole at $z = 0$. Then one can always make near $z = 0$ an analytic change of variables $z = \xi + O(\xi^2)$ to conjugate ϕ to the form $\lambda\ d\xi/\xi$, ie so that

$$\phi = f(z)\ dz = \lambda\ d\xi/\xi.$$

One way of seeing this is to 'rearrange' the above equation to derive the ODE that the change of variables needs to satisfy,

$$\frac{dz}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{f(z)},$$

which it is easily seen has a unique analytic solution near $\xi = 0$ with initial condition $z(0) = 0$.

Definition (Pole petal). *We define the pole-petal of the 1-form ϕ associated to the pole $z = 0$ to be the domain in the z-plane $P = z(\{|\xi| < r\})$, where r is the radius of convergence around $\xi = 0$ of the change of variables $z = z(\xi)$. Let $F(z) = \int f(z)\ dz$ be a primitive of ϕ near $z = 0$ (taking values in $\mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z}$); since the composition $\xi \mapsto z = z(\xi) \mapsto F(z(\xi)) = \lambda \log \xi$ is a univalent function of ξ in $\{0 < |\xi| < r\}$, so is $z = z(\xi)$. Thus the 'petal' P is a simply connected domain around the pole $z = 0$ on which ϕ is conjugate to $\lambda\ d\xi/\xi$; moreover any primitive F of ϕ maps $P - \{0\}$ univalently to a half-cylinder $F(P) = \{w \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z} :\ Re(w/\lambda) < \log r\} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda\mathbf{Z}$.*

We return to the case of interest to us, ie that of a meromorphic 1-form $\omega = R(z)\ dz$ on the sphere; from the above remarks, we see that the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ of a primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$ should contain half-cylinders, one for each simple pole; these should be somehow pasted together to form the surface $\mathcal{S}$. We note that if for a pole the change of variables $z(\xi)$ is entire, so $R = \infty$, and its petal corresponds to a full cylinder, then the form ω must have exactly two poles and be either as in example 1 or example 2; from now on we exclude this trivial case, assuming that ω has a number of poles $n \geq 3$, so that $R < \infty$ for every petal.

Proposition. *Let $\omega = R(z)\, dz$ be as above. We have:*

(1) Pole-petals corresponding to distinct poles are disjoint.

(2) The boundary of a pole-petal contains no poles of ω, but at least one zero of ω.

(3) The boundary of a pole-petal is a piecewise analytic Jordan curve, analytic except at the zeroes of ω.

(4) For two distinct pole-petals P, P' with associated residues λ, λ' respectively, if λ and λ' are not colinear then ∂P and $\partial P'$ can meet only at zeroes of ω.

Proof:

We first observe that a pole-petal contains no poles of ω other than the pole associated to it.

(1) : Let P, P' be distinct pole-petals with associated poles p, p' and residues λ, λ' respectively. Suppose there is a $z_0 \in P \cap P'$. Let γ be the closed equipotential curve of P passing through z_0, say with periodic parametrization such that $R(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) = 2\pi i\lambda, t \in \mathbf{R}$. If λ, λ' are colinear, then γ is an equipotential curve of P' as well (negatively oriented), and the two components of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} - \gamma$ containing p, p' are contained in P and P' respectively, so ω has only two poles p, p', contradicting the hypothesis $n \geq 3$. If λ, λ' are not colinear, then we must have $\gamma(t) \to p'$ for either $t \to +\infty$ or $t \to -\infty$, so, since $\gamma(t)$ is periodic, $p' \in \gamma \subset P$, contradicting the observation made above.

(2) : That the boundary of a pole-petal contains no poles of ω follows from (1); this implies that the boundary must contain at least one zero of ω (otherwise the map $\xi \mapsto z(\xi)$ could be extended across every point of $\{|\xi| = R\}$).

(3) : Given (2), it is not hard to check that for each pole-petal P of ω, the change of variables $\xi \mapsto z(\xi)$ extends to a continuous piecewise analytic map from the circle $\{|\xi| = R\}$ to the boundary ∂P of the petal on the sphere, being analytic except for points which get mapped to zeroes of ω; so ∂P is a piecewise analytic curve, possessing at each point $z \in \partial P$ (except for finitely many 'corners') a tangent vector $X \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $R(z) \cdot X = 2\pi i\lambda$, where λ is the residue at the pole corresponding to P.

(4) : The preceding remark implies that if two petals P, P' have associated residues λ, λ' which are not colinear, then the boundaries ∂P and $\partial P'$ can only intersect (if they do at all) at their 'corners', ie at the zeroes of ω which lie on them (since at other points the boundaries are smooth and non-tangential, so near an intersection point the domains themselves would have to intersect). $\diamondsuit$

We are now in a position to handle the following example:

3. Let $\omega = (z - c_1)\, dz/(z - z_1)(z - z_2)(z - z_3)$, so that ω has $n = 3$ simple poles at $z = z_1, z_2, z_3$, and a single zero at $z = c_1$. Let P_1, P_2 and P_3 be the pole-petals associated to the poles z_1, z_2 and z_3. Assume that no two of the residues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ at the three poles are colinear; then by the remarks above, the boundaries of the petals meet precisely at the unique zero $z = c_1$. Let B be the complement in the sphere of the closed connected set $\overline{P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3}$; B is a simply connected domain, so ω has a single-valued

primitive $F(z) = \int R(z)\, dz$ in B. F extends analytically to all points of the boundary $\partial B = \partial P_1 \cup \partial P_2 \cup \partial P_3$, mapping the boundary curves $\partial P_1, \partial P_2, \partial P_3$ to line segments corresponding to the vectors $2\pi i\lambda_1, 2\pi i\lambda_2, 2\pi i\lambda_3$. Since $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$, the boundary ∂B is in fact mapped to a triangle with these three vectors as sides; use of the argument principle shows that B is mapped univalently to the inside of this triangle.

The primitive F also extends analytically to each of the three petals, although it is not single-valued in them; however, we can view it as mapping each petal P_k to an open half-cylinder $C_k \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_k\mathbf{Z}$. The tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ such that F defines a uniformisation $F : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - \{z_1, z_2, z_3, c_1\} \to \mathcal{S}$ can now be described as follows:

For $k = 1, 2, 3$ let $\overline{C_k}$ be the half-cylinder with boundary given by the closure in $\mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_k\mathbf{Z}$ of C_k, so $\overline{C_k} = \{w \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_k\mathbf{Z} : \ \mathrm{Re}(w/\lambda_k) \leq A_k\} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_k\mathbf{Z}$ for some constant A_k.

Let $T \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a closed triangle (ie including the interior and boundary) having for sides the vectors $2\pi i\lambda_1, 2\pi i\lambda_2, 2\pi i\lambda_3$.

Let $T' = T - \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ be obtained from T by deleting its vertices w_1, w_2, w_3. For $k = 1, 2, 3$ let $\overline{C_k}' = \overline{C_k} - \{p_k\}$ be obtained from $\overline{C_k}$ by deleting a single point p_k on its boundary. Then the boundaries of the $\overline{C_k}'$ s, which are isometric to open Euclidean line segments given by the vectors $2\pi i\lambda_1, 2\pi i\lambda_2, 2\pi i\lambda_3$, correspond exactly to the boundary segments of T'; we paste the boundary of each $\overline{C_k}'$ isometrically to the corresponding boundary segment of T', to obtain the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$.



Figure 3

In this example we made the assumption that the residues λ_k at the poles of ω were not colinear, which is generically the case, and obtained a triangle with sides given by $2\pi i\lambda_k$, with each side pasted to a half-cylinder. If the residues happen to be colinear, then the triangle degenerates into a 'triangle' with angles $0, 0$ and π, and the half-cylinders are pasted to each other along their boundaries. The following example illustrates this case.

4. Let

$$\omega = \frac{2\ dz}{z(z-1)(z+1)} = \left(\frac{1}{z-1} + \frac{1}{z+1} - \frac{2}{z}\right)\ dz$$

so ω has 3 simple poles and one zero as in the preceding example. In this case however the residues, all being real, are colinear, and instead of the boundaries of the petals P_1, P_2, P_3 meeting only at the critical point, the petal associated to the pole 0, say P_1, shares its boundary with the other two petals, ie $\partial P_1 = \partial P_2 \cup \partial P_3$, and the petals give a partition of the sphere, $\overline{\mathbf{C}} = \overline{P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3}$.

To construct the associated tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ we first take as before the three half-cylinders with boundary $\overline{C_1} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i \cdot (-2)\mathbf{Z}, \overline{C_2} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i \cdot (1)\mathbf{Z}, \overline{C_3} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i \cdot (1)\mathbf{Z}$ corresponding to the petals $0, 1$ and -1 respectively. As before we let $\overline{C_2}' = \overline{C_2} - \{p_2\}, \overline{C_3}' = \overline{C_3} - \{p_3\}$ be obtained by deleting one point each from the boundaries of $\overline{C_2}, \overline{C_3}$. Let $\overline{C_1}' = \overline{C_1} - \{q_1, q_2\}$ be given by deleting two points a distance 2π apart (for the flat metric on $\mathbf{C}/2\pi i \cdot (-2)\mathbf{Z}$) from the boundary of $\overline{C_1}$, so that the boundary of $\overline{C_1}'$ consists of two disjoint line segments each of length 2π. We paste the boundaries of $\overline{C_2}'$ and $\overline{C_3}'$ isometrically to these two line segments, one to each, to obtain the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$.

In all the examples so far the associated tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ has been built up from half-cylinders and polygons; in all cases the 1-form ω has had only one zero (not counting multiplicity). As we will see shortly, the consideration of 1-forms with two or more distinct zeroes leads naturally to tube-log Riemann surfaces with quotiented log-polygons as building blocks.

4) Definition and uniqueness of the tube-log Riemann surface associated to the primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$.

Definition. *A tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be associated to the primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$, where R is a rational function, if there is a biholomorphic map $F : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathcal{S}$ (where Z, P are the zero and pole sets of R) such that its derivative $F' : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathbf{C}$ computed in the distinguished charts on $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies*

$$F'(z) = R(z)$$

.

Proposition. *There is a unique tube-log Riemann surface associated to a primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$, ie if $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are two tube-log Riemann surfaces associated to the same primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$, then $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2$ as tube-log Riemann surfaces.*

Proof:

Given $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ and the corresponding biholomorphic maps $F_1 : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathcal{S}_1, F_2 : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathcal{S}_2$, since $F_1'(z) = R(z) = F_2'(z)$, it follows that the biholomorphic map $F_2 \circ F_1^{-1} : \mathcal{S}_1 \to \mathcal{S}_2$ has derivative in the distinguished charts identically equal to unity. $\diamondsuit$

We denote the unique tube-log Riemann surface associated to a primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$ by $\mathcal{S}_R$.

5) Construction of the tube-log Riemann surface associated to $\int R(z)\ dz$.

Our problem is to try and construct, as in the examples in section 3, the tube-log Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{S}_R$ by isometric pasting of building blocks for general primitives $\int R(z)\ dz$. One way of viewing the problem is the following:

The 1-form $R(z)\ dz$ gives, on the punctured sphere $\overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P)$ a flat conformal metric $|R(z)|\ |dz|$. This gives us a flat metric space, $(\overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P), |R(z)|\ |dz|)$. The question is, how can we describe this flat space? In particular, can this space be realized concretely by isometric pasting of the building blocks defined in section 2?

The general case of an arbitrary rational function R however presents many complications, so we restrict ourselves to describing a construction of the surfaces $\mathcal{S}_R$ that holds for a generic class of rational functions.

Notation. Let $n \geq 4$ be the *degree* of the 1-form $R(z)dz$, ie the number of poles (counted with multiplicity) of the 1-form. The number of zeroes (counted with multiplicity) is then $n-2$. We denote the zero and pole sets by Z and P respectively.

We denote the poles by $z_1, \ldots, z_n$, the corresponding residues by $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ and the corresponding pole-petals by $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. We denote the zeroes by $c_1, \ldots, c_{n-2}$.

We restrict ourselves to 1-forms $R(z)dz$ satisfying the following generic conditions:

a) All zeroes and poles are simple. There are thus n distinct poles and $n-2$ distinct zeroes.

(b) The sum of residues over any proper subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $I \neq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is non-zero,

$$\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$$

(c) Any two such sums

$$\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i, \sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i$$

over distinct subsets $I \neq J$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{R}$.

Our main result is the following :

Main Theorem. *For the generic class described above, the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ associated to a primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$ is given by isometric pasting of n half-cylinders $C_j \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_j\mathbf{Z}, j = 1, \ldots, n$ to a quotiented log-polygon $B = P/\sim$ with n boundary components. The log-polygon P has $3n-6$ sides and can be embedded in the log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ of a polynomial of degree at most $2 \times (3n-6) + 1 = 6n - 11$.*

By the *log-Riemann surface of a polynomial*, we mean a log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ whose uniformization is given by a polynomial, ie there is a polynomial $Q \in \mathbf{C}[z]$ and a biholomorphic map $F : \mathbf{C} - \{Q' = 0\} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that $\pi \circ F = Q$, where $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbf{C}$ is the projection mapping of $\mathcal{S}$.

Terminology and tools. We will talk of *geodesics* in the space $(\overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P), |R(z)|\,|dz|)$. By these we mean geodesics for the flat metric $|R(z)|\,|dz|$. We will also talk of geodesics γ *in the direction of the vector* $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, by which we mean that the geodesic has velocity

$$R(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) = \lambda,$$

and geodesics *at an angle* θ, meaning

$$\arg(R(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t)) = \theta.$$

Given $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, these geodesics can also be thought of as integral curves of the vector field X_λ defined by

$$X_\lambda(z) := \frac{\lambda}{R(z)} \ , \ z \in \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P)$$

Note that these are curves which get mapped by any primitive $\int R(z)\,dz$ to straight lines with direction vector λ.

Before proceeding with the proof of the Theorem for general n, we first prove the following Propositions and then work out the special case of degree $n = 4$.

Proposition. *For a 1-form $R(z)\,dz$ in the generic class described above, at any zero c_i there can be at most 2 pole petals whose boundaries meet at c_i.*

Proof.

Let c_i be a zero and suppose $k \geq 0$ pole petals meet at c_i. Consider a local primitive $F(z) = \int R(z)\,dz$ defined near c_i, and say $F(c_i) = 0$. c_i is then a critical point of order 1 for F, which maps the angle 2π at c_i to an angle 4π. Each petal boundary at c_i is mapped to an angle π by F, and these angles are disjoint; it follows that $k \leq 4$. Moreover, if k were equal to 4, then the petal boundaries would coincide and the corresponding residues would be parallel, contradicting condition **(c)** above. Hence $k \leq 3$. It remains to show that $k = 3$ cannot occur.

Suppose then that $k = 3$, denote the petals by P_1, P_2, P_3 and the corresponding residues by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. We have the following picture:



Figure 4

Since under the mapping F the total angle at c_i is equal to 4π and the 3 petals contribute an angle π each, it follows that

$$2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 = \pi$$

(note angles double under F). In particular, $2\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2, 2\alpha_3 < \pi$. Consider small ϵ-neighbourhoods (for the metric $|R(z)||dz|$) of the petal boundaries $\partial P_1, \partial P_2, \partial P_3$. In the neighbourhood of ∂P_j, since $2\alpha_{j-1}, 2\alpha_j < \pi$ (the indices are taken modulo 3 here), the integral curves to the vector field $X_{2\pi i\lambda_j}$ starting from points in this neighbourhood exterior to P_j must meet the boundaries ∂P_{j-1} and ∂P_{j+1}. Moreover, if, for 3 small constants $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 < \epsilon$, γ_j is the integral curve to $X_{2\pi i\lambda_j}$ starting from a point at distance ϵ_j to ∂P_j, then the three curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ meet to form a closed curve $\gamma = \gamma_1' \cup \gamma_2' \cup \gamma_3'$, where $\gamma_j' \subset \gamma_j$ is a curve segment of γ_j.



Figure 5

For each γ_j' we have

$$\int_{\gamma_1'} R(z)\ dz = (1-\delta_j)2\pi i\lambda_j$$

(where the δ_j's are small and depend on the ϵ_j's). The ϵ_j's can be appropriately chosen so that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta > 0$ say. In that case we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma} R(z)\ dz &= \int_{\gamma_1'} R(z)\ dz + \int_{\gamma_2'} R(z)\ dz + \int_{\gamma_3'} R(z)\ dz \\ &= (1-\delta)2\pi i\lambda_1 + (1-\delta)2\pi i\lambda_2 + (1-\delta)2\pi i\lambda_3.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by Cauchy's residue formula we have

$$\int_{\gamma} R(z)\ dz = 2\pi i\lambda_1 + 2\pi i\lambda_2 + 2\pi i\lambda_3.$$

It follows from the above two equations that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0,$$

a contradiction to condition **(b)**. $\diamondsuit$

Thus there can be at most 2 pole-petals attached to a zero. In view of this we make the following definitions:

Definition. We define

$n_0 =$ the number of zeroes of $R(z)\ dz$ with no petals attached to them.
$n_1 =$ the number of zeroes of $R(z)\ dz$ with one petal each attached to them.
$n_2 =$ the number of zeroes of $R(z)\ dz$ with two petals each attached to them.

Proposition. *For $n \geq 4$, all possible values of the triple (n_0, n_1, n_2) are given by the triples*

$$(n_0 = j,\ n_1 = n-4-2j,\ n_2 = j+2)\ ,\ 0 \leq j \leq [n/2]-2$$

Proof.

Counting the number of zeroes and poles respectively of $R(z)\ dz$ gives the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}n_0 + n_1 + n_2 &= n-2 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 &= n\end{aligned}$$

Solving these two equations in three unknowns subject to the restrictions $0 \leq n_0, n_1, n_2 \leq n-2$ gives the solutions listed above. $\diamondsuit$

5.1) The case degree $n = 4$.

In this case, the above Proposition gives

$$n_0 = 0,\ n_1 = 0, n_2 = 2$$

as the only possibility for the arrangement of the pole-petals. Thus there are two pole-petals attached to each of the two zeroes, say P_1, P_2 to c_1 and P_3, P_4 to c_2.



Figure 6

The annulus U which separates these two pairs of pole-petals is of finite modulus; in fact it is isometric to the quotient of a planar hexagon obtained by identifying a pair of parallel sides. More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem. *Let $R(z)\,dz$ be a meromorphic 1-form of degree 4 on $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ belonging to the generic class defined in the previous section. There exists a planar hexagon T with two pairs of sides, $2\pi i\lambda_1, 2\pi i\lambda_2$ and $2\pi i\lambda_3, 2\pi i\lambda_4$, joined together by a pair of parallel sides given by a vector $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$, such that the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given by pasting isometrically complex half-cylinders $C_j \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i\lambda_j\mathbf{Z}, j = 1, \ldots, 4$ to the quotient of T given by identifying its pair of equal sides by the appropriate translation.*



Figure 7

Thus in the special case $n = 4$, we can improve considerably on the general Theorem, in the sense that the quotiented log-polygon given by the general Theorem can in fact be taken to be a quotiented planar polygon. The above picture shows two distinct possible cases for the surface $\mathcal{S}_R$; in the figure to the left the whole surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ can be realised isometrically as the quotient of a planar domain with boundary by translations, whereas in the figure to the right this is not possible (the cylinders C_3 and C_4 'overlap' when trying to represent $\mathcal{S}_R$ isometrically as a planar quotient). Note that the hexagon T need not be convex.

Proof of Theorem.

The proof consists in 'drawing' parts of the surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ one by one.

We already know that any primitive $\int R(z)\, dz$ maps each petal P_j isometrically to a half-cylinder $C_j \subset 2\pi i\lambda_j$. It remains to understand how it maps the annular region U separating the two pairs of petals.

Considering the total angle at c_1 gives

$$2\alpha + 2\beta = 2\pi,$$

where α, β are the angles shown in figure 5. So one of the two angles $2\alpha, 2\beta$ is less than π; suppose it is 2α, so $2\alpha < \pi$. This implies that geodesics with direction $2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ starting from points close to c_1 inside the angle α flow from one petal P_1 to the other P_2. Thus we obtain a domain isometric to two half-cylinders C_1, C_2 joined to each other at an angle 2α by pasting a small triangle to their boundaries, as shown in the figure below.



Figure 8

The only possible obstruction to continuing 'drawing' geodesics in this direction from one petal boundary to the other and growing this triangle is posed by the zero c_2, when

one of these geodesics lands at c_2 before reaching the petal boundary ∂P_2. We consider separately the two distinct cases that may occur:

Case 1. The geodesics can be continued without obstruction:

In this case the geodesics can be continued till we arrive at a closed geodesic γ_0 entering and leaving the zero c_1 through the angle β. The region bounded by γ_0 and the petal boundaries $\partial P_1, \partial P_2$ is isometric to a triangle T_1 with sides $2\pi i\lambda_1, 2\pi i\lambda_2$ and $-2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$, and the whole region enclosed by γ_0 is isometric to two half-cylinders C_1, C_2 joined to each other at an angle 2α by pasting this triangle to their boundaries.

The geodesics with the same direction $2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ starting from points close to γ_0 and to the exterior of the region bounded by γ_0 must be smooth closed geodesics. This family of geodesics can be grown till we encounter the second zero c_2; when this occurs we obtain a closed geodesic γ_1 starting and ending at c_2. The region bounded by γ_0 and γ_1 is made up of smooth closed geodesics, and is isometric to a finite cylinder C of the form

$$C = \{w \in \mathbf{C}/2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\mathbf{Z} : a < \ \mathrm{Re}(w/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) < A\} \subset \mathbf{C}/2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\mathbf{Z}$$

for some constants $a, A \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus the half-cylinders C_1, C_2 are pasted to the triangle T_1 whose free boundary side is pasted to a finite cylinder C, as shown in the figure below.



Figure 9

Moreover, the points c_1, c_2 correspond to points on the two boundaries of the finite cylinder C and can hence be joined by a geodesic isometric to a line segment $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ as shown in the figure. The finite cylinder C, shown in the figure as the quotient of a rectangle, can also be represented isometrically as the quotient of a parallelogram L with one pair of parallel sides equal to μ and the other to $2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$.

The only region that remains to be understood is the region bounded by γ_1 and the petal boundaries $\partial P_3, \partial P_4$. This is a simply connected domain whose boundary segments $\partial P_3, \partial P_4, \gamma_1$ are mapped by any primitive $F(z) = \int R(z)\, dz$ to line segments $2\pi i\lambda_3, 2\pi i\lambda_4$

and $2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = -2\pi i(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)$ respectively, and hence to the boundary of a triangle T_2 with these three sides. It follows that this domain is mapped to the interior of T_2; finally, the petals P_3, P_4 are mapped to half-cylinders C_3, C_4 pasted isometrically along their boundaries to the corresponding sides of T_2.

Thus the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given by pasting the two pairs of half-cylinders C_1, C_2 and C_3, C_4 to the triangles T_1 and T_2 respectively, pasting T_1 and T_2 to the parallelogram L and finally identifying the sides of L equal to μ.



Figure 10

The hexagon T of the Theorem is given by the union of the triangles T_1, T_2 and the parallelogram L, $T = T_1 \cup L \cup T_2$, pasting the appropriate sides of T_1, T_2 to those of L isometrically.

Case 2. The geodesics with direction $2\pi i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ starting from points on ∂P_1 hit the zero c_2:

In this case we obtain a domain containing the petals P_1, P_2 that is isometric to two half-cylinders C_1, C_2 joined to each other by pasting a triangle T_1 to part of their boundaries. The free boundary of the triangle corresponds to two geodesics α_1, α_2 which start from points on $\partial P_1, \partial P_2$ and meet at the zero c_2, as shown in the figure below:



Figure 11

We observe that $2a+2b+2c=\pi$, so in particular $2a, 2b < \pi$. Geodesics starting from the zero c_2 and leaving through the angle a in a direction almost parallel to that of α_1 must meet ∂P_1, similarly geodesics leaving through the angle b in a direction almost parallel to that of α_2 must meet ∂P_2. We can keep increasing the angles between these geodesics and α_1, α_2 till we obtain geodesics β_1, β_2 that start from c_2 and meet at c_1. This gives two domains isometric to two triangles T_2, T_3 pasted to the triangle T_1 and the half-cylinders C_1, C_2:



Figure 12

Since the angle $2a$ is less than π, now geodesics starting from the zero c_1 and leaving through the angle d in a direction almost parallel to that of β_1 must meet ∂P_3. We can keep increasing the angles between these geodesics and β_1 till we obtain a geodesic τ that starts from c_1 and ends at c_2. This gives two simply connected domains D_1, D_2, bounded by the curves $\tau, \beta_1, \partial P_3$ and by $\tau, \beta_2, \partial P_4$ respectively. Since any primitive $\int R(z)\, dz$ defined in

these domains maps the boundaries to boundaries of triangles, these domains are isometric to two triangles T_4, T_5 pasted to the triangles T_2, T_3 and the half-cylinders C_3, C_4 as shown in the figure below (here $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ is given by $\mu = \int_\tau R(z)\ dz$):



Figure 13

The hexagon T of the Theorem is given by the union of the triangles $T_1, \ldots, T_5$, pasted isometrically along corresponding boundaries. This ends the proof of the Theorem. $\diamondsuit$

5.2) The general case of degree $n \geq 4$.

We now consider the general case of degree $n \geq 4$. It will be useful to consider the region in the sphere $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ exterior to the open pole-petals $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ as a metric space with the metric induced by the infinitesimal metric $|R(z)||dz|$.

5.2.1) The metric space (X, d).

Definition. We define the metric space (X, d) by:

$$X := \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n),$$

and

$$d(z_1, z_2) := \inf_\gamma\ l(\gamma)\ ,\ z_1, z_2 \in X$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable paths γ in X joining z_1 to z_2 and $l(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ computed with respect to the metric $|R(z)||dz|$.

The space X is compact, and the classical argument using Ascoli-Arzela's Theorem applies in this setting to give

Proposition. *The distance between any two points of X is attained by a curve in X, ie given $z_1, z_2 \in X$ there exists a path γ in X joining z_1 to z_2 such that*

$$d(z_1, z_2) = l(\gamma).$$

We call γ a minimizing geodesic joining z_1 and z_2.

Since the metric $|R(z)||dz|$ is flat away from the critical points Z where $R(z)dz$ vanishes, minimizing geodesics must be isometric to Euclidean segments away from the critical points. Minimizing geodesics can thus be described as follows:

Proposition. *Any minimizing geodesic is isometric either to a single Euclidean segment or to a polygonal line which is a union of Euclidean segments, with vertices at critical points.*

5.2.2) Connecting the critical points by geodesics in X.

The space X is not simply connected, since the connected components of its complement in $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ consist of distinct groups of pole-petals attached to critical points, and it is not hard to see from Proposition [] that there must be at least two such groups. We aim to make 'cuts' in X in such a way that the resulting region D is simply connected, so that we will be able to define a single-valued primitive $\int R(z)\ dz$ in D.

We will choose $(n-3)$ 'cuts' $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-3}$ in order to connect the $(n-2)$ critical points $c_1, \ldots, c_{n-2}$. Each 'cut' γ_k will be a geodesic in X joining a pair of critical points. The geodesics $\gamma_k, k = 1, \ldots, (n-3)$, will be chosen inductively according to the following algorithm:

Step 1. At stage $k = 1$:

Choose critical points $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)} \in Z$ such that

$$d(c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}) = \operatorname*{Min}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-2} d(c_i, c_j)$$

and choose γ_1 to be a minimizing geodesic in X joining $c^{(1)}$ to $c^{(2)}$ such that

$$d(c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}) = l(\gamma_1).$$

If $k+1 = 2 = n-2$ (ie if $n = 4$), then we stop at this point, otherwise we set $k = k+1$ and proceed as follows.

Step 2. At stage $k \geq 2$:

We assume that k critical points $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)} \in Z$ and $(k-1)$ geodesics $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{k-1}$ have been chosen.

We choose a critical point $c^{(k+1)} \in Z - \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}$, distinct from those already chosen, such that it is closest to those already chosen, in the sense that

$$d(c^{(k+1)}, \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}) = \operatorname*{Min}_{c \in Z - \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}} d(c, \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}).$$

(by the distance $d(c^{(k+1)}, \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \})$ we mean the distance between a point x and a compact set A, defined as usual by $d(x, A) = \mathrm{Min}_{y \in A}\, d(x, y)$).

Let $c^{(i_k)} \in \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}$ be a critical point such that

$$d(c^{(k+1)}, \{\ c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}) = d(c^{(k+1)}, c^{(i_k)})$$

and choose γ_k to be a minimizing geodesic joining $c^{(k+1)}$ to $c^{(i_k)}$ such that

$$d(c^{(k+1)}, c^{(i_k)}) = l(\gamma_k).$$

We observe that γ_k cannot pass through any critical points other than $c^{(k+1)}$ and $c^{(i_k)}$ (this would clearly contradict the definition of $c^{(k+1)}, c^{(i_k)}$), and is thus isometric to a single Euclidean segment.

If $k+1 = n-2$, then all critical points $c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(n-2)}$ and all the required geodesics $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-3}$ have been chosen, so we stop at this point, otherwise we set $k = k+1$ and repeat Step 2.

The above algorithm, when it terminates, gives us $(n-3)$ geodesics $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-3}$. We can show that these geodesics do not intersect (except possibly at their endpoints):

Proposition. *Assume $n \geq 5$ (so that $n-3 \geq 2$ and at least two geodesics γ_1, γ_2 have been chosen). Then for any two distinct geodesics $\gamma_k, \gamma_{k'}, 1 \leq k \neq k' \leq n-3$ either*

$$\gamma_k \cap \gamma_{k'} = \phi$$

or

$$\gamma_k \cap \gamma_{k'} = \{c\}$$

where $c \in Z$ is a critical point which is a common endpoint of γ_k and $\gamma_{k'}$.

Proof:

Suppose that two such geodesics $\gamma_k, \gamma_{k'}, k \neq k'$, meet at a point z_0 which is not a critical point,

$$z_0 \in \gamma_k \cap \gamma_{k'}\ ,\ z_0 \notin Z.$$

We may assume $k' > k$. The endpoints of γ_k are critical points $c^{(i_k)}, c^{(k+1)}$ while those of $\gamma_{k'}$ are critical points $c^{(i_{k'})}, c^{(k'+1)}$. Removing the point z_0 from the curves $\gamma_k, \gamma_{k'}$ disconnects each into two connected components, one containing each endpoint. We let

$$\gamma_{k,1} := \text{Connected component of } \gamma_k - \{z_0\} \text{ containing } c^{(i_k)}$$
$$\gamma_{k,2} := \text{Connected component of } \gamma_k - \{z_0\} \text{ containing } c^{(k+1)}$$

and similarly

$$\gamma_{k',1} := \text{Connected component of } \gamma_{k'} - \{z_0\} \text{ containing } c^{(i_{k'})}$$
$$\gamma_{k',2} := \text{Connected component of } \gamma_{k'} - \{z_0\} \text{ containing } c^{(k'+1)}$$

The situation is as shown in the figure below.



Figure 14

Observing that $c^{(i_k)} \in \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \} \subset \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k')}\ \}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(\gamma_{k',1}) + l(\gamma_{k',2}) = d(c^{(i_{k'})}, c^{(k'+1)}) &= \min_{c \in \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k')}\ \}} d(c, c^{(k'+1)}) \\ &\leq d(c^{(i_k)}, c^{(k'+1)}) \\ &\leq l(\gamma_{k,1}) + l(\gamma_{k',2}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$l(\gamma_{k',1}) \leq l(\gamma_{k,1}).$$

The curves $\gamma_{k',1}$ and $\gamma_{k,2}$ are geodesic segments meeting at z_0; since z_0 is not a critical point, we can modify the curve $\gamma_{k',1} \cup \gamma_{k,2}$ slightly in a neighbourhood of z_0 to construct a curve γ joining $c^{(i_{k'})}$ to $c^{(k+1)}$ such that

$$l(\gamma) < l(\gamma_{k',1} \cup \gamma_{k,2}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(c^{(i_{k'})}, c^{(k+1)}) \leq l(\gamma) &< l(\gamma_{k',1}) + l(\gamma_{k,2}) \\ &\leq l(\gamma_{k,1}) + l(\gamma_{k,2}) \\ &= d(c^{(i_k)}, c^{(k+1)}) \\ &= \min_{c \in \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}} d(c, c^{(k+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $c^{(i_{k'})} \notin \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} l(\gamma_{k,2}) + l(\gamma_{k,1}) = d(c^{(k+1)}, c^{(i_k)}) &= \min_{c \in Z - \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}} d(c, \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}) \\ &\leq d(c^{(i_{k'})}, \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k)}\ \}) \\ &\leq d(c^{(i_{k'})}, c^{(i_k)}) \\ &\leq l(\gamma_{k',1}) + l(\gamma_{k,1}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$l(\gamma_{k,2}) \leq l(\gamma_{k',1}).$$

As before, we can modify the curve $\gamma_{k,2} \cup \gamma_{k',2}$ slightly in a neighbourhood of z_0 to construct a curve γ' joining $c^{(k+1)}$ to $c^{(k'+1)}$ such that

$$l(\gamma') < l(\gamma_{k,2} \cup \gamma_{k',2}).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(c^{(k+1)}, c^{(k'+1)}) \leq l(\gamma') &< l(\gamma_{k,2}) + l(\gamma_{k',2}) \\ &\leq l(\gamma_{k',1}) + l(\gamma_{k',2}) \\ &= d(c^{(i_{k'})}, c^{(k'+1)}) \\ &= \operatorname*{Min}_{c \in \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k')}\ \}} d(c, c^{(k'+1)}) \,, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since $c^{(k+1)} \in \{\ c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(k')}\ \}$. $\diamondsuit$

5.2.3) Construction of the log-polygon P.

We now define the open set

$$D := X - \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \partial P_j \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-3} \gamma_k \right)$$

. Its not hard to see from the preceding Proposition that D is connected. Since the complement of D is clearly connected (the γ_k's connect all the critical points and hence all the petals P_k as well), it follows that D is simply connected. The figure below shows an example of the domain D for degree $n = 6$.



Figure 15

Fixing a base point $z_0 \in D$ we can define in D a single-valued primitive $F : D \to \mathbf{C}$ given by

$$F(z) := \int_{z_0}^{z} R(t)\ dt\ ,\ z \in D.$$

The infinitesimal metric $|R(z)||dz|$ on D induces a global metric d_1 on D defined by

$$d_1(z_1, z_2) := \operatorname*{Inf}_{\gamma}\ l(\gamma)\ ,\ z_1, z_2 \in D$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable paths γ in D joining z_1 to z_2 and $l(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ computed with respect to the metric $|R(z)||dz|$. Let $D^* = D \sqcup \mathcal{E}$ denote the Caratheodory compactification of D, given by adding the circle of prime ends $\mathcal{E}$ of D. The metric $d_1 : D \times D \to \mathbf{R}$ extends in a unique way to a metric $d_1 : D^* \times D^* \to \mathbf{R}$. The map $F : D \to \mathbf{C}$, which is a local isometry of (D, d_1), extends to a local isometry of (D^*, d_1), which we continue to denote by $F : D^* \to \mathbf{C}$.

Since the boundary ∂D of D is locally connected, prime ends of D correspond to points of ∂D (not necessarily in a one-to-one fashion). For each point of a petal boundary ∂P_j there is one prime end that corresponds to it (except for the critical points), while for each point of a curve γ_k there are two prime ends that correspond to it (again excepting the critical points). The circle of prime ends $\mathcal{E}$ can be written as a finite union of arcs intersecting only at endpoints, each of which is mapped isometrically by F to a compact Euclidean segment. To each petal boundary ∂P_j corresponds one such arc, mapped by F to a Euclidean segment with endpoints differing by the vector $2\pi\lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}$, while to each curve γ_k correspond two such arcs, mapped by F to a Euclidean segment with endpoints differing by a vector $2\pi\tau_k \in \mathbf{C}$. There are thus $n + 2(n-3) = 3n - 6$ such arcs.

Theorem. *There exists a log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ and a log-polygon $P \subset \mathcal{S}$, such that D^* embeds isometrically into $\mathcal{S}$, via an isometry $\tilde{F} : D^* \to P \cup \partial P \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ mapping D onto P and $\mathcal{E}$ onto ∂P. The log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ can be taken to be the log-Riemann surface of a polynomial of degree at most $2 \times (3n-6) + 1 = 6n - 11$, with finite ramification points at the vertices of P and no others. If the projection mapping is denoted by $\pi : \mathcal{S}^* \to \mathbf{C}$, then on D^* we have the equality $\pi \circ \tilde{F} = F$.*

Proof :

The required log-Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ may be constructed as follows:

Let the circle of prime ends $\mathcal{E}$ be the union of $N = 3n-6$ arcs $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$ say, ordered cyclically so that each α_j intersects only α_{j-1} and α_{j+1}, at its endpoints denoted by w_j^* and w_{j+1}^* respectively (here and in what follows, indices are taken cyclically modulo N). For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let F map α_j isometrically to a compact Euclidean segment $[w_j, w_{j+1}] \subset \mathbf{C}$ contained in a straight line $l_j \subset \mathbf{C}$. The line l_j determines two half-planes; of these two, there is one such that any point in $\alpha_j - \{w_j^*, w_{j+1}^*\}$ has a neighbourhood in D^* whose image

under F is contained in the closure of this half-plane. We consider the *other* half-plane, and denote it by H_j.

For $j = 1, \ldots, N$ we let $\theta_j, \phi_j \in [0, 2\pi)$ be angles such that

$$\theta_j = \arg(w_{j+1} - w_j) \ , \ \phi_j = \arg(w_{j-1} - w_j).$$

The half-plane H_j is given by either $H_j = \{\theta_j < \arg(w - w_j) < \theta_j + \pi\}$ or $H_j = \{\theta_j - \pi < \arg(w - w_j) < \theta_j\}$. If the first case occurs then we let $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ be the smallest integer such that $2m_j\pi > \theta_j + \pi$ and define the angular sector $U_j \subset \mathbf{C}$ by $U_j := \{\theta_j + \pi < \arg(w - w_j) < 2m_j\pi\}$, while if the second case occurs we take m_j to be the largest integer such that $2m_j\pi < \theta_j - \pi$ and define $U_j := \{2m_j\pi < \arg(w - w_j) < \theta_j - \pi\}$.

Similarly, the half-plane H_{j-1} is given by either $H_{j-1} = \{\phi_j < \arg(w - w_j) < \phi_j + \pi\}$ or $H_{j-1} = \{\phi_j - \pi < \arg(w - w_j) < \phi_j\}$. In the first case we take $n_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ to be the smallest integer such that $2n_j\pi > \phi_j + \pi$ and define the angular sector $V_j \subset \mathbf{C}$ by $V_j := \{\phi_j + \pi < \arg(w - w_j) < 2n_j\pi\}$, while in the second case we take n_j to be the largest integer such that $2n_j\pi < \phi_j - \pi$ and define $V_j := \{2n_j\pi < \arg(w - w_j) < \phi_j - \pi\}$.

We paste $\overline{U_j} - \{w_j\}$ to $\overline{H_j} - \{w_j, w_{j+1}\}$ isometrically by the identity along the open half-line common to their boundaries, which is either $\{\arg(w - w_j) = \theta_j + \pi\}$ or $\{\arg(w - w_j) = \theta_j - \pi\}$. Similarly we paste $\overline{V_j} - \{w_j\}$ to $\overline{H_{j-1}}$ isometrically by the identity along either $\{\arg(w - w_j) = \phi_j + \pi\}$ or $\{\arg(w - w_j) = \phi_j - \pi\}$. We paste $\overline{U_j} - \{w_j\}$ to $\overline{V_j} - \{w_j\}$ isometrically by the identity along the remaining boundary segments $\{\arg(w - w_j) = 2m_j\pi\}$ and $\{\arg(w - w_j) = 2n_j\pi\}$. Finally we paste $D^* - \{w_1^*, \ldots, w_n^*\}$ to each half-plane $\overline{H_j} - \{w_j, w_{j+1}\}$, by identifying the boundary segments $\alpha_j - \{w_j^*, w_{j+1}^*\}$ and (w_j, w_{j+1}) isometrically via the map F.

The result is a space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} = &(D^* - \{w_1^*, \ldots, w_N^*\}) \\ &\sqcup (\overline{H_1} - \{w_1, w_2\}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\overline{H_N} - \{w_N, w_1\}) \\ &\sqcup (\overline{U_1} - \{w_1\}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\overline{U_N} - \{w_N\}) \\ &\sqcup (\overline{V_1} - \{w_1\}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\overline{V_N} - \{w_N\}) / \sim\end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ describes how the various boundary segments are pasted.

Since all the pasting maps are conformal, the space $\mathcal{S}$ inherits a Riemann surface structure from its constituent parts. Moreover, each constituent comes with a distinguished chart, namely the identity map on the domains $\overline{H_j} - \{w_j, w_{j+1}\}, \overline{U_j} - \{w_j\}, \overline{V_j} - \{w_j\}, j = 1, \ldots, n$, and the map F on $D^* - \{w_1^*, \ldots, w_N^*\}$. It is clear that these maps paste together to give a globally defined map $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbf{C}$, which is a local diffeomorphism. If we denote by $\tilde{F} : D^* - \{w^*1, \ldots, w_N^*\} \to \mathcal{S}$ the canonical embedding of $D^* - \{w_1^*, \ldots, w_N^*\}$ in $\mathcal{S}$, then on D we have $\pi \circ \tilde{F} = F$. We denote by P the isometric image of D in $\mathcal{S}$, $P = \tilde{F}(D) \subset \mathcal{S}$.

Pulling back the flat metric on $\mathbf{C}$ via π gives a flat metric on $\mathcal{S}$, which in turn induces a global metric on $\mathcal{S}$. The completion $\mathcal{S}^*$ of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to this metric is given

by adding N points $p_1, \ldots, p_N$, $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S} \sqcup \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$, and each point p_j is given by $p_j = \partial H_j \cap \partial U_j \cap \partial V_j \cap \partial H_{j-1} \cap \partial P$ (with boundaries here taken in $\mathcal{S}^*$. The embedding $\tilde{F} : D^* - \{w^*1, \ldots, w_N^*\} \to \mathcal{S}$ extends to an isometric embedding $\tilde{F} : D^* \to P \cup \partial P \subset \mathcal{S}$ mapping w_j^* to p_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, and the equality $\pi \circ \tilde{F} = F$ continues to hold on D^*.

Using the map π and the metric on $\mathcal{S}$ it is shown in [Bi-PM] how to construct *log-charts* for $\mathcal{S}$ and give $\mathcal{S}$ a compatible log-Riemann surface structure, for which $\mathcal{S}$ has π as projection mapping. The domain $P \subset \mathcal{S}$ is simply connected and its boundary in $\mathcal{S}^*$ is a finite union of compact Euclidean segments, hence P is a log-polygon; the vertices of P are the points $p_1, \ldots p_N$.

Finally it is not hard to see that each point p_j is a finite ramification point, and its order k_j is at most equal to 3. As is explained in [Bi-PM], for any log-Riemann surface, the topological surface obtained by adding all of its finite ramification points inherits a unique Riemann surface structure compatible with that of the original log-Riemann surface. Thus in this case $\mathcal{S}^*$ inherits a Riemann surface structure from $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover the surface $\mathcal{S}^*$ is simply connected; since $\mathcal{S}$ has a finite number of ramification points all of finite order, it follows from a result in [Bi-PM] that $\mathcal{S}$ is the log-Riemann surface of a polynomial. Moreover the degree of this polynomial is given by the sum $(k_1 - 1) + \ldots + (k_N - 1) + 1$ which is at most equal to $2N + 1$. $\diamondsuit$

5.2.4) Construction of $\mathcal{S}_R$ and proof of the Main Theorem.

We can now construct the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ and in the process prove the Main Theorem as follows:

Proof of Main Theorem:

Let $\overline{C_1}, \ldots, \overline{C_n}$ be closed half-cylinders isometric to the pole-petals $\overline{P_1}, \ldots, \overline{P_n}$ respectively, with $\overline{C_j} = \{ \text{ Re } (w/\lambda_j) \leq 0\} \subset \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{2}\pi i\lambda_j\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\overline{P} = P \cup \partial P$ be the closed log-polygon with projection $\pi : \overline{P} \to \mathbf{C}$ constructed in the previous section, and $\tilde{F} : D \to P$ the isometry mapping D to P such that $F = \pi \circ \tilde{F}$, where F is the primitive defined in the previous section.

Each petal boundary ∂P_j corresponds to a side of P isometric to a Euclidean segment with endpoints differing by $2\pi i\lambda_j$, which we denote by β_j say, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Each curve γ_k corresponds to two sides of P both isometric to γ_k, which we denote by Γ_k^+, Γ_k^- say, $k = 1, \ldots, n-3$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let $\overline{C_j}' := \overline{C_j} - \{a_j\}$ be obtained from $\overline{C_j} \subset \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{2}\pi i\lambda_j\mathbf{Z}$ by deleting a point $a_j \in \partial C_j \subset \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{2}\pi i\lambda_j\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\overline{P}' := \overline{P} - \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$ be obtained from $\overline{P}$ by deleting the vertices of $\overline{P}$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$ the open Euclidean segments $\partial C_j - \{a_j\}$, $\beta_j \cap \overline{P}'$ of $\overline{C_j}'$ and $\overline{P}'$ respectively are isometric, and we paste them together isometrically along these segments. For $k = 1, \ldots, n-3$ we paste the open Euclidean segments $\Gamma_k^+ \cap \overline{P}'$ and $\Gamma_k^- \cap \overline{P}'$ of $\overline{P}'$ isometrically to each other. The resulting space

$$\mathcal{S} := \overline{P}' \sqcup (\overline{C_1}' \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \overline{C_n}')/\sim$$

(where the relation $\sim$ denotes the various isometric pastings) is clearly a tube-log Riemann surface. Moreover it is the tube-log Riemann surface associated to $\int R(z)\,dz$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_R$; the required biholomorphism $\tilde{F} : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathcal{S}$ may be constructed as follows:

On D we let $\tilde{F}$ be given by the isometry (already denoted by $\tilde{F}$) mapping D to the log-polygon P, $\tilde{F} : D \to P$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, this map extends continuously to every point of ∂P_j which is not a critical point of $R(z)\,dz$, mapping $\partial P_j - Z$ isometrically to $\beta_j \cap \overline{P}'$ For $k = 1, \ldots, n-3$, at each point $z_0 \in \gamma_k$ which is not an endpoint of γ_k, there are two prime ends of D with impression z_0; when $z \to z_0$ through one of these prime ends, $\tilde{F}(z)$ tends to a point of $\Gamma_k^+ \cap \overline{P}'$, and when $z \to z_0$ through the other, to a point of $\Gamma_k^- \cap \overline{P}'$. These two points correspond under the isometric pasting of $\Gamma_k^+ \cap \overline{P}'$ and $\Gamma_k^- \cap \overline{P}'$. It follows from these remarks that $\tilde{F} : D \to P$ extends to an isometric embedding into $\mathcal{S}$, $\tilde{F} : D \cup ((\partial P_1 \cup \ldots \partial P_n) - Z) \cup (\gamma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \gamma_{n-3}) \to (\overline{P}'/\sim) \subset \mathcal{S}$ (isometric for $D \cup (\gamma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \gamma_{n-3})$ considered as a subspace of (X, d)).

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the closed pole-petal $\overline{P_j}$ (with the metric induced by $|R(z)||dz|$) and the half-cylinder $\overline{C_j}'$ are isometric, and moreover, removing the critical point which lies on ∂P_j, we can choose an isometry $\tilde{F}_j : \overline{P_j} - Z \to \overline{C_j}'$ which agrees with the map $\tilde{F}$ above on $\partial P_j - Z$.

Putting together the maps $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$ gives the required biholomorphism, which we denote by $\tilde{F} : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathcal{S}$. The derivative $\tilde{F}' : \overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P) \to \mathbf{C}$ computed in the distinguished charts on $\mathcal{S}$ is clearly equal to the rational function $R(z)$ on D, and hence on all of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} - (Z \cup P)$. Thus $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_R$. Moreover it is clear that the tube-log Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_R$ is constructed as stated in the Main Theorem. $\diamondsuit$

References

[Bi-PM] K.BISWAS, R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Log-Riemann Surfaces*, Preprint, UCLA, 2004.

[PM1] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Sur les dynamiques holomorphes non linéarisables et une conjecture de V.I. Arnold*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4 serie, **26**, 1993, p.565-644; (C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **312**, 1991, p.105-121).

[PM2] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Uncountable number of symmetries for non-linearisable holomorphic dynamics*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **119**, **1**, p.67-127,1995; (C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **313**, 1991, p. 461-464).

[PM3] R.PEREZ-MARCO, *Siegel disks with smooth boundary*, Preprint, Université de PARIS-SUD, 1997, www.math.ucla.edu/~ricardo, submitted to the Annals of Mathematics in 1997.